WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Joel Mata-Rodriguez	Case Number: <u>13-01459M-001</u>
and was	represe	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § sented by counsel. I conclude by a pre t pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 18, 2013. Defendant was present ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by	a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
,			e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		The defendant, at the time of the ch	narged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.		
The defendant has a prior criminal history.The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		history.	
		The defendant lives/works in Mexic	0.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years imprisonment.
at the tir	The Coune of the	urt incorporates by reference the ma e hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.
	4		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	
	2.		ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	The def		FIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a correct appeal. of the U	tions fac The def nited Sta	cility separate, to the extent practicab rendant shall be afforded a reasonab rates or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		APPEAI	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
			is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services	s sufficie		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE:	April 1	8, 2013	A J Moter
			JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge